June 11, 2021

The Honorable Janet L. Yellen
United States Secretary of the Treasury
1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20220

RE: Municipal Bonds and Infrastructure Investment

Dear Secretary Yellen,

On behalf of the municipal issuer representative groups listed above, we write collectively supporting the federal partnership represented by the tax-exemption for municipal securities and the effective use of that tax exemption for our various communities. Tax-exempt bonds are the primary mechanism through which state and local governments raise capital to finance a wide range of essential public projects. The volume
of municipal bond issuance for the period from 2009 to 2019 amounted to $4.2 trillion. Communities across the country depend on strong, substantive federal tax policy for state and local governments to meet their capital needs. For over 100 years, the municipal bond market has worked fairly and efficiently to address these needs, whether it is in our largest states and cities or rural communities across the United States.

We appreciate the Administration’s focus on infrastructure because our country’s need for additional investment was amplified by the COVID-19 pandemic. As the broad diversity of the issuer groups listed demonstrates, municipal bonds finance infrastructure projects that go well beyond just roads and bridges. Everything from the construction and preservation of roads, airports, highways, bridges, public transportation, affordable housing, water and wastewater, schools, libraries, town halls, nonprofit hospitals and universities, and electric power and gas facilities are just a few examples among a multitude of public projects that rely on tax-exempt municipal bonds. It is that shared priority that brings us together today to write to you.

**Above all else, our cohesion is centered around our commitment to preserving the tax exemption on municipal bonds.** Elimination, reduction, or capping of the tax exemption would pose immediate increased costs to the critical projects financed by state and local issuers. Added costs to capital projects would force state and local governments, already budget-strained by the ongoing pandemic, to make difficult and pro-recessionary choices. Furthermore, increased costs would ultimately be borne by the American taxpayer.

There is also broad bipartisan support in Congress to enhance municipal bonds for state and local governments, thereby providing a more powerful, cost-effective way to drive further investment and economic growth. We urge this Administration to join in supporting these provisions as we move into a critical phase in the legislative debate on infrastructure. We share the following priorities for your consideration.

**Restore the Tax-Exemption for Advance Refunding Bonds:** Before January 1, 2018, municipal issuers were able to issue single tax-exempt advance refunding bonds prior to 90 days before call. This critical tool allowed state and local governments to effectively refinance their outstanding debt in order to take advantage of more favorable interest rate environments or covenant terms. Advance refunding bonds frequently provided issuers with the flexibility to lower debt servicing charges that would otherwise be a fixed cost. The Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) found that between 2007 and 2017, there were over 12,000 tax-exempt advance refunding issuances nationwide which generated over $18 billion in savings for tax and ratepayers over the ten-year period. Prior to their elimination in the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (“TCJA”) (P.L. 115-97), advance refunding bonds made up approximately 27 percent of issues in 2016. Restoration of this tax exemption would require an act of Congress, but would be one of the most effective actions to provide state and local governments with more financial flexibility to weather downturns and increase infrastructure investment.

**Support Small Issuers:** We recommend exploring additional ways to enhance the ability for smaller issuers to access capital. We believe that targeted easing of capital requirements along with minor changes to the U.S. Tax Code would further strengthen access to bank loans and lines of credits for smaller issuers and borrowers in the case of nonprofits. Often in smaller communities,
the relationship between an issuer and the community bank is the primary source of capital. Limitations on the deductibility of carrying costs, as well as stressed capital requirements and asset caps placed on banks, constrain their ability to meet the credit needs of small issuers. There are current bipartisan legislative efforts on Capitol Hill that many of our groups support to expand the number of small governments and nonprofits eligible to benefit from “bank qualified debt” and provide an enhanced purchaser in our markets to further diversify sources of credit to state and local governments.

**Restore and Expand the Use of Direct-Pay Bonds and Eliminate Sequestration for New and Existing Direct-Pay Bonds:** While not currently permitted to be issued, in the past, Congress authorized governments to issue taxable direct subsidy bonds. These bonds allowed the government/issuing entity to receive a payment from the Federal Government for the life of the bond, covering a percentage of the interest costs. Bonds under previous programs could be issued for most governmental purposes, and the subsidy generally provided the issuer with a lower net interest cost on the financing compared with conventional tax-exempt bonds. Restoring and expanding the use of direct-pay type bonds and ending their subsidy exposure to sequestration, would immediately create an attractive investment option globally while funding thousands of state and local projects, particularly while the municipal bond market is recovering from the initial effects of the COVID-19 pandemic. In addition, the application of sequestration to existing direct-pay bonds should be eliminated.

Finally, we would like to reiterate that as a collective group, and through our individual organizations we are here to serve as resources for you and your team. If you should need issue area expertise on specific sectors of our markets, we have included the contact information for the policy directors of the signing organizations. We look forward to hearing from and working with you.

Sincerely,

Government Finance Officers Association, Emily Swenson Brock, 202-393-8467
American Hospital Association, Mike Rock, 202-626-2325
American Public Gas Association, Emily Wong, 202-470-4262
American Public Power Association, John Godfrey, 202-467-2929
American Public Works Association, Andrea Eales, 202-218-6730
American Society of Civil Engineers, Joe Brady, 202-789-7852
Association of Metropolitan Water Agencies, Dan Hartnett, 202-331-2820
Association of Public and Land-grant Universities, Lindsey Tepe, 202-478-6079
Association of School Business Officials International, Elleka Yost, 866-682-2729
Council of Infrastructure Financing Authorities, Deirdre Finn, 850-445-9619
Council of State Governments, Andy Karellas, 202-624-5460
International City/County Management Association, Elizabeth Kellar, 202-962-5328
Large Public Power Council, John DeStasio, 512-707-1010
National Association of Clean Water Agencies, Kristina Surfus, 202-833-4655
National Association of College and University Business Officers, Liz Clark, 202-861-2553
CC:  
Vice President Kamala Harris  
    Secretary of Transportation Pete Buttigieg  
    National Economic Council  
    Senate Majority Leader Chuck Schumer  
    Senate Minority Leader Mitch McConnell  
    House Speaker Nancy Pelosi  
    House Minority Leader Kevin McCarthy